

A FIRST EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH TO THE DISTRIBUTED 3D-VORTEX RECEPTIVITY OF A BOUNDARY LAYER ON AN AIRFOIL

W. Würz¹, S. Herr¹, S. Wagner¹, and Y.S. Kachanov²

¹ Institut für Aerodynamik und Gasdynamik, Universität Stuttgart,
70550 Stuttgart, Germany

² Institute of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics SB RAS,
630090 Novosibirsk, Russia

Introduction

This paper is devoted to an investigation of the distributed linear receptivity of a two-dimensional laminar boundary layer on the curved surface of an airfoil with respect to non-stationary free-stream vortices. The vortices represent a periodic, anti-symmetric vortex street localized along the spanwise coordinate with the vorticity vector directed initially (i.e. upstream the airfoil) perpendicular to the flow velocity vector and the leading edge of the airfoil. The vortex-street frequency was chosen to be close to that of the most amplified 2D TS-wave. Hot-wire measurements were performed as a set of spanwise scans, which cover a part of the streamwise region of the continuously excited 3D TS-wave train. Due to different phase speeds of the outer disturbance and the TS-waves this wave train is found to be modulated in spanwise and streamwise directions. Using a phase-locked measurement system the complex TS-wave amplitude is determined at the maximum of the TS-eigenfunction inside the boundary layer and Fourier decomposed into spanwise wavenumber spectra. In a similar way the complex amplitude of the free-stream vortices is determined as a reference.

After performing an analysis of the distributed vortex receptivity problem a notion of a receptivity density function (coefficient) $\bar{G}_v(x, f, \beta)$ has been introduced and analytical expressions for its determining from experimental data have been derived. The values of the distributed vortex receptivity coefficients were determined experimentally. It is found that, in contrast to the 3D vibrational receptivity [1] and the 3D roughness-acoustic receptivity [2], the amplitudes of the distributed vortex receptivity coefficient are the largest for 2D modes and attenuate rapidly with increasing TS-wave propagation angle.

The boundary-layer receptivity to external perturbations represents a very important aspect of the laminar-turbulent transition problem. This paper focuses on the experimental investigation of a linear mechanism of transformation of non-stationary 3D free-stream vortices into 3D Tollmien – Schlichting waves due to scattering of the former on the “natural” surface and flow non-uniformities in a plane boundary layer on an airfoil.

The problem of vortex receptivity is poorly studied, so far. Most of the previous experiments in this field are theoretical ones and were devoted to two-dimensional receptivity problems. For *steady* streamwise vortices the quantitative experimental data by Kendall were compared with calculations by Bertolotti in a joint work [3]. A very good agreement has been found for the mechanism of excitation of streamwise streaks under the influence of free-stream streamwise vortices. A very similar problem has been studied in detail in recent experiments [4] by Boiko for the cases of both 2D (Blasius) and 3D (swept-wing) boundary layer.

The mechanism of transformation of wall-normal *steady* free-stream vortices to steady boundary-layer perturbations (again streaks) was investigated experimentally and theoretically by Ustinov [5]. It was shown that an interaction of these vortices with a blunt leading edge of the experimental model leads to formation of very strong streaks (streamwise vortices) inside the boundary layer. The theory predicted very well the experimental observations.

©W. Würz, S. Herr, S. Wagner, and Y.S. Kachanov, 2002

At the moment the only available quantitative experimental study of the receptivity problem for *unsteady* free-stream vortices has been performed by Dietz [6] for scattering of a weak vortex street (with 2D spanwise vorticity) on a *localized* two-dimensional surface roughness in a Blasius flow. The excitation of 2D Tollmien – Schlichting waves has been observed and documented under controlled experimental conditions. This receptivity mechanism was studied theoretically by Wu [7] and a good agreement with the experimental data was found.

Since the scattering of unsteady free stream vortices can play an important role in the laminar-turbulent transition process on an airfoil the goal of the present investigation is to determine quantitatively the 3D *distributed* receptivity characteristics of a laminar boundary layer on the curved surface of an airfoil. The vortex axis initially is perpendicular to the flow direction and the airfoil chord and the vortex frequency was chosen to be in the region of the most amplified TS-frequencies. The pressure distribution of the airfoil, as well as the surface curvature, are functions of the streamwise coordinate.

At first, an analytic simulation of the problem was performed in order to find a proper definition for the distributed receptivity function, which could be linked to the well established formulations for the localized receptivity mechanisms due to 3D surface vibrations [1] and scattering of acoustic waves on 3D surface roughness [2]. Then, an experimental investigation was carried out. The results of it were processed using the analytic formulas obtained at the first stage.

Determination of Distributed Receptivity Coefficients

The distributed generation of 3D TS-waves on a 2D airfoil by 3D free-stream vortices, which are periodic in time, can be described in the following way. Both the TS-wave and the vortex street have a certain *fixed real frequency* $\omega = 2\pi f$ and a certain *fixed real spanwise wavenumber* β . It is convenient to present the perturbation of the streamwise velocity component, associated with the generated TS-wave, as:

$$\bar{u}'(x, y, z, t) = \bar{B}'(x, y) \exp[i(\beta z - \omega t)], \quad (1)$$

where $\bar{B}'(x, y)$ is the *complex* TS-wave amplitude. (All complex functions are marked with bars on the top as vectors.) Similarly, the perturbation of the streamwise velocity component, associated with the free-stream vortex street, can be presented as:

$$\bar{u}_v(x, y, z, t) = \bar{B}_v(x, y) \exp[i(\beta z - \omega t)], \quad (2)$$

where $\bar{B}_v(x, y)$ is the *complex* free-stream vortex amplitude.

The total change of the TS-velocity perturbation $d\bar{u}'(x, y, z, t)$ on an infinitely small interval dx of the streamwise coordinate (around the position x) is:

$$d\bar{u}'(x, y_m, z, t) = d\bar{u}_s(x, y_m, z, t) + d\bar{u}_r(x, y_m, z, t), \quad (3)$$

where $d\bar{u}_s(x, y_m, z, t)$ is a portion of change *due to the boundary layer instability* (i.e. due to the evolution of the previously generated TS-wave), $d\bar{u}_r(x, y_m, z, t)$ is a portion of change *due to the distributed receptivity* (i.e. an additionally generated portion of the TS-wave), and y_m is the wall-normal position of the amplitude maximum of the TS-wave with the frequency f and spanwise wavenumber β . For the right side of equation (3) we get:

$$d\bar{u}_s(x, y_m, z, t) = i\bar{\alpha}(x)\bar{u}'(x, y_m, z, t)dx = i\bar{\alpha}(x)\bar{B}'(x, y_m) \exp[i(\beta z - \omega t)]dx, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\bar{\alpha}(x) = \alpha_r(x) + i\alpha_i(x) \quad (4a)$$

is the complex wavenumber (an eigenvalue) of the TS-wave, and

$$d\bar{u}_r(x, y_m, z, t) = \bar{u}_v(x, y, z, t)|_{y=\delta} \bar{G}_v'(x) dx = \bar{B}_v(x, y)|_{y=\delta} \exp[i(\beta z - \omega t)] \bar{G}_v'(x) dx \quad (5)$$

where δ is the boundary layer thickness and $\bar{G}_v'(x)$ is the complex distributed receptivity function (or the receptivity density function). Substitution of (4) and (5) into (3) gives us the main equation, which connects all three complex functions (the TS-wave amplitude, the free-stream vortex amplitude, and the receptivity function):

$$\frac{d\bar{B}'(x, y_m)}{dx} = i\bar{\alpha}(x)\bar{B}'(x, y_m) + \bar{B}_v(x, y)|_{y=\delta} \bar{G}_v'(x) \quad (6)$$

The complex TS-wave amplitude generated in a distributed way in standard form is:

$$\bar{B}'(x, y) = B'_o(y) \exp[i\phi'_o(y)] \exp\left[i \int_{x_o}^x \bar{\alpha}'(x) dx\right], \quad (7)$$

where $B'_o(y)$ and $\phi'_o(y)$ are real initial amplitude and phase of the excited TS-wave and

$$\bar{\alpha}'(x) = \alpha'_r(x) + i\alpha'_i(x) \quad (8)$$

where $\alpha'_r(x)$ and $-\alpha'_i(x)$ are the real streamwise wavenumber and amplification rate of the TS-wave excited in the flow by the distributed receptivity mechanism.

After substitution of (7), (8) into (6) and designation

$$\Delta\bar{\alpha}(x) \stackrel{df}{=} \bar{\alpha}'(x) - \bar{\alpha}(x), \text{ i.e. } \Delta\alpha_r(x) \stackrel{df}{=} \alpha'_r(x) - \alpha_r(x) \text{ and } \Delta\alpha_i(x) \stackrel{df}{=} \alpha'_i(x) - \alpha_i(x), \quad (9)$$

we finally get as a possible definition of the complex distributed-receptivity function for a given frequency f and spanwise wave number β :

$$\boxed{\bar{G}_v'(x) = \frac{\bar{B}'(x, y_m)}{\bar{B}_v(x, y)|_{y=\delta}} i\Delta\bar{\alpha}(x)} \quad (10)$$

The main difference to a definition for localized receptivity is the presence of the term $i\Delta\bar{\alpha}(x)$. It can be seen from equation (10) that, despite the *distributed* receptivity is studied, the corresponding receptivity coefficient is a function of x and can be obtained locally.

Experimental Investigation

The experiments were carried out in the Laminar Wind Tunnel (LWT) of the IAG. The LWT is an open return tunnel with a turbulence level less than $Tu = 2 \cdot 10^{-4}$. The boundary layer measurements were performed on a symmetrical airfoil section (XIS40MOD, $c = 0.6$ m) at zero angle of attack and at a flow speed of 29.8 m/s. The streamwise coordinate x is measured along the arclength, starting from the leading edge.

A sketch of the experiment is shown in Fig. 1 and the main parameters are shown in Fig. 2. The periodic vortex street was generated by a 25 μ m tungsten wire placed 44 mm upstream of the airfoil leading edge. Two micro piezo-actuators, located in two stream-lined stems, are used to drive the wire at a frequency of 1088 Hz. To minimize the influence of the stems on the pressure distribution of the airfoil, they are placed 750 mm apart from one another and inclined to the local free stream direction. The piezo-actuators were




Fig. 1. Experimental set-up.




Fig. 2. Velocity distribution, boundary layer parameters and surface curvature K , symbols denote measured values.




Fig. 3: Wavenumber spectrum of the excited TS-wave train




Fig. 4: Wavenumber spectrum of the vortex street, $y = 20$ mm

adjusted to produce a standing wave on the wire (the fifth eigen mode with wavelength of 150 mm) with a maximum amplitude of approximately 3 mm peak to peak.

The AC-signal for the vibrating wire, the point source, and the trigger for the data acquisition were generated strictly phase locked from the same clock. The time signals at every measurement point were analyzed with an FFT and the amplitude and phase obtained at the reference frequency were used for further data processing.

The shape of the excited vortex street was carefully measured in the potential flow outside the boundary layer (at a distance of 20 mm from the




Fig. 5. Contours of the vortex-street amplitude in the (y,z) plane, $x = 270$ mm

Conclusions

A method for the quantitative investigation of the distributed receptivity of boundary layers to 3D non-stationary free-stream perturbations has been developed and used successfully in an experiment with controlled disturbance conditions.

It is shown experimentally that the non-stationary 3D free-stream vortices with wall-normal orientation of vorticity excite quite effectively 3D TS-waves on “natural” 2D non-uniformities of an airfoil surface and the basic flow. The distributed vortex receptivity coefficients (amplitudes and phases) have been obtained in a range of spanwise wavenumbers (i.e. the TS-wave propagation angles). It is found that the flow is the most receptive to those free-stream vortices, which have the largest spanwise scales.

The results of the present experiments can be used for direct validation of linear distributed-receptivity theories.

Acknowledgment. This work was performed under grant of the German Research Council (DFG) and is a part of the research program ‘Transition’. The contribution of Y. S. Kachanov was supported by the Institut für Aerodynamik und Gasdynamik.

REFERENCES

1. **IVANOV A.V., KACHANOV Y.S., OBOLENTSEVA T.G., MICHALKE A.** Receptivity of the Blasius boundary layer to surface vibrations. Comparison of theory and experiment // Intern. Conf. on Methods of Aerophysical Research: Proc. Pt I, Novosibirsk, ITAM, 1998. P. 93-98.
2. **WÜRZ W., HERR S., WÖRNER A., RIST U., WAGNER S., KACHANOV Y.S.** Study of 3D wall roughness acoustic receptivity on an airfoil // Laminar-Turbulent Transition: Proc. of IUTAM Symp. / Eds. H. Fasel & W. Saric, Sedona, Az. [USA], 1999. P. 91-96.
3. **BERTOLOTTI F.P., KENDALL J.M.** 1997. Response of the Blasius boundary layer to controlled free-stream vortices of axial form. AIAA Pap. 97-2018.
4. **BOIKO, A.V.** 2000. Receptivity of boundary layers to free-stream axial vortices: Rep. IB 223-2000 A10. Institut für Strömungsmechanik, DLR Göttingen.
5. **USTINOV M.V.** Streaks production by free-stream nonuniformity interaction with blunt leading edge // 20th Intern. Congress of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics (ICTAM 2000): Abstract Book. Tech. Rep. No. 950. DTAM Univ. Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 2000. Abstr. JA4.
6. **DIETZ A.J.** Local boundary-layer receptivity to a convected free-stream disturbances // J. Fluid Mech. 1999. Vol. 378. P. 291-317.
7. **WU X.** Receptivity of boundary layers with distributed roughness to vortical disturbances // 20th Intern. Congress of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics (ICTAM 2000): Abstract Book. Tech. Rep. No. 950. DTAM Univ. Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, 2000. Abstr. GB06.